

Short Form Order

NEW YORK SUPREME COURT - QUEENS COUNTY

Present: Hon. Rudolph E. Greco, Jr.  
Justice

IA Part 32

\_\_\_\_\_<sup>x</sup>  
CAMILA LLANOS,

Index Number: 701451/2012  
Motion Date: 9/11/13  
Motion Cal. No. 79  
Motion Seq. No. 5

Plaintiff,

- against -

T-MOBILE USA, INC., GIUSEPPE DI BARTOLO  
and PETER BUENO

*ORIGINAL*

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_<sup>x</sup>

The following papers numbered 1 to 3 read on this unopposed motion by plaintiff for leave to reargue as per CPLR § 2221(d).

Papers Numbered

Notice of Motion.....	1
Supporting Affirmation.....	2
Exhibits.....	3

Upon the foregoing papers this motion is determined as follows:


Plaintiff's motion to reargue is based upon the assertion that the Court overlooked or misapprehended relevant facts or misapplied any controlling principles of law (Pro Brokerage Inc. v. Home Ins. Co., 99 A.D.2d 971 (1st Dept. 1984)). Specifically movant argues that the N.Y.C. Administrative Code § 8-107 is distinct, independent and a counterpart to New York State Executive Law § 296 which therefore renders the Court's decision of August 12, 2013 erroneous insofar as it found the underlying conduct complained of in dismissed actions first and second to be encompassing the facts complained of in actions third and fourth.

The Court finds no merit in the motion for reargument and no reason to alter its original decision of August 12, 2013. This motion is denied.

This constitutes the decision and order of this Court.

Dated: January 6, 2014

**FILED**  
JAN 07 2014  
COUNTY CLERK  
QUEENS COUNTY

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Rudolph E. Greco, Jr., J.S.C.