Case 1:14-cv-03673-KAM-JO Document	207 Filed 04/	27/18 Page 2	L of 10 PageID #: 17545
		Autor P	u/27/18 U/27/18
	* APR27		Verdict Form
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	ERÓOKLYN	Co	ourt Exhibit 4A
EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION,	X	_	BEXHIBIT NO. <u>9</u> BEXHIBIT NO. <u>9</u> BENTIFICATION/EVIDENCE BEDKT.# <u>14-07-3613</u>
			B DATE: 425/18

1

Plaintiff,

-against-

.`

UNITED HEALTH PROGRAMS OF AMERICA, INC. and COST CONTAINMENT GROUP, INC.,

Defendants.

-----X

-----X 14-CV-3673 (KAM) (JO) ELIZABETH ONTANEDA, FRANCINE PENNISI, and FAITH PABON,

Plaintiffs-Intervenors,

-against-

UNITED HEALTH PROGRAMS OF AMERICA, INC. and COST CONTAINMENT GROUP, INC.,

Defendants. -----X

VERDICT FORM

Case 1:14-cv-03673-KAM-JO Document 207 Filed 04/27/18 Page 2 of 10 PageID #: 17546

Verdict Form

VERDICT FORM

A. Hostile Work Environment (All Claimants Except Faith Pabon)

Question 1. Do you unanimously find that plaintiffs have proven by a preponderance of the evidence that defendants UHP/CCG are legally responsible for creating or maintaining a hostile work environment based on religion for the following claimants?

Sandra Benedict	YES	NO M
Danielle Diaz	Yes	NO M
Jennifer Honohan	YES	NO TM
Karen Josey	YES	NO TM
Regina Maldari	Yes	NO TM
Elizabeth Ontaneda	YES	NO TM
Cynthia Pegullo	YES	NO M/
Francine Pennisi	YES	NO MY
Elizabeth Safara	YES	№ Т

M

÷

Verdict Form

Answer Question 2 only if the answer for any claimant in Question 1 is YES.

Question 2. How much should each of the claimants be awarded for the hostile work environment they experienced? A claimant may not recover more than once for any damages she may have suffered for the same injury.

	Compensatory Damages	Punitive Damages
Sandra Benedict	\$ 225,000.00	\$
Danielle Diaz	\$ 190,000.00	\$_400,000.00
Jennifer Honohan	\$ <u>570,000.00</u>	\$ O
Karen Josey	\$ <u>180,000.00</u>	\$O
Regina Maldari	\$ <u>308,000,00</u>	\$ <u>0</u>
Elizabeth Ontaneda	\$ 590,000.00	\$ 900,000.00
Cynthia Pegullo	\$ 180,000.00	\$ 160,000.00
Francine Pennisi	\$ <u>248,000.00</u>	\$ 381,000.00
Elizabeth Safara	\$ 80,000.00	\$ O

-/M

Case 1:14-cv-03673-KAM-JO Document 207 Filed 04/27/18 Page 4 of 10 PageID #: 17548

Verdict Form

B. <u>Disparate Treatment - Wrongful Termination (All Claimants</u> Except Elizabeth Safara & Regina Maldari)

÷

Question 3. Do you unanimously find that plaintiffs have proven by a preponderance of the evidence that defendants UHP/CCG subjected the following claimants to termination that was motivated, at least in part, by the claimant's rejection of defendants' religious practices?

Sandra Benedict	YES	NO
Danielle Diaz	YES	<u> </u>
Jennifer Honohan	YES	NO
Karen Josey	YES	NO
Elizabeth Ontaneda	YES	NO
Faith Pabon	YES	NO
Cynthia Pegullo	YES	NO
Francine Pennisi	YES	NO

.

Verdict Form

Answer Question 4 only if the answer for any claimant in Question 3 is YES.

Question 4. If you find by a preponderance of the evidence for any claimant that defendants have proven that defendants would have taken the same adverse action in the absence of a discriminatory motive, the amount of damages is \$0. How much should each of the following claimants be awarded for the disparate treatment they experienced? A claimant may not recover more than once for any damages she may have suffered for the same injury.

	Compensatory Damages	Punitive Damages
Sandra Benedict	\$ <u>D</u>	\$
Danielle Diaz	\$ <i>D</i>	\$
Jennifer Honohan	\$D	\$ <u>∂</u>
Karen Josey	\$D	\$
Elizabeth Ontaneda	\$Q	\$ <u>D</u>
Faith Pabon	\$ 440,000.00	\$ 250,000.00
Cynthia Pegullo	\$ <u>0</u>	\$
Francine Pennisi	\$	\$

Verdict Form

C. Additional Disparate Treatment (Elizabeth Ontaneda)

Question 5. Do you unanimously find that plaintiffs have proven by a preponderance of the evidence that defendants UHP/CCG subjected Elizabeth Ontaneda to an adverse employment action other than termination that was motivated, at least in part, by Ms. Ontaneda's rejection of defendants' religious practices?

YES NO

Answer Question 6 only if the answer to Question 5 is YES.

Question 6. If you find by a preponderance of the evidence for any claimant that defendants have proven that defendants would have taken the same adverse action in the absence of a discriminatory motive, the amount of damages is \$0. How much should Elizabeth Ontaneda be awarded for the disparate treatment she experienced? A claimant may not recover more than once for any damages she may have suffered for the same injury.

Compensatory	Punitive
Damages	Damages
\$ <u> </u>	\$ <u>Ö</u>

Elizabeth Ontaneda

Case 1:14-cv-03673-KAM-JO Document 207 Filed 04/27/18 Page 7 of 10 PageID #: 17551

Verdict Form

D. Additional Disparate Treatment (Francine Pennisi)

Question 7. Do you unanimously find that plaintiffs have proven by a preponderance of the evidence that defendants UHP/CCG subjected Francine Pennisi to an adverse employment action other than termination, that was motivated, at least in part, by Ms. Pennisi's rejection of defendants' religious practices?

____ YES ____NO

Answer Question 8 only if the answer to Question 7 is YES.

Question 8. If you find by a preponderance of the evidence for any claimant that defendants have proven that defendants would have taken the same adverse action in the absence of a discriminatory motive, the amount of damages is \$0. How much should Francine Pennisi be awarded for the disparate treatment she experienced? A claimant may not recover more than once for any damages she may have suffered for the same injury.

	Compensatory	Punitive
	Damages	Damages
Francine Pennisi	\$O	\$

Verdict Form

Question 9. Do you unanimously find that plaintiffs have proven by a preponderance of the evidence that defendants UHP/CCG subject Francine Pennisi to an adverse employment action other than termination that was motivated, at least in part, by Ms. Pennisi's personal religious beliefs (Catholicism)?



Answer Question 10 only if the answer to Question 9 is YES.

Question 10. If you find by a preponderance of the evidence for any claimant that defendants have proven that defendants would have taken the same adverse action in the absence of a discriminatory motive, the amount of damages is \$0. How much should Francine Pennisi be awarded for the disparate treatment she experienced? A claimant may not recover more than once for any damages she may have suffered for the same injury.

	Compensatory	<u>Punitive</u>
	Damages	Damages
Francine Pennisi	\$	\$

Case 1:14-cv-03673-KAM-JO Document 207 Filed 04/27/18 Page 9 of 10 PageID #: 17553

Verdict Form

Question 11. Do you unanimously find that plaintiffs have proven by a preponderance of the evidence that defendants UHP/CCG subjected Francine Pennisi to termination, that was motivated, at least in part, by Ms. Pennisi's personal religious beliefs (Catholicism)?

____ YES _____ NO

Answer Question 12 only if the answer to Question 11 is YES.

Question 12. If you find by a preponderance of the evidence for any claimant that defendants have proven that defendants would have taken the same adverse action in the absence of a discriminatory motive, the amount of damages is \$0. How much should Francine Pennisi be awarded for the disparate treatment she experienced? A claimant may not recover more than once for any damages she may have suffered for the same injury.

	Compensatory	Punitive
	Damages	Damages
Francine Pennisi	\$	\$

</1/W

Case 1:14-cv-03673-KAM-JO Document 207 Filed 04/27/18 Page 10 of 10 PageID #: 17554

Verdict Form

E. Retaliation (Francine Pennisi)

Question 13. Do you unanimously find that plaintiffs have proven by a preponderance of the evidence hat defendants UHP/CCG retaliated against Francine Pennisi for engaging in a protected activity?



Answer Question 14 only if the answer to Question 13 is YES.

Question 14. How much should Francine Pennisi be awarded in connection with the retaliation she experienced? A claimant may not recover more than once for any damages she may have suffered for the same injury.

	Compensatory Damages	<u>Punitive</u> Damages
Francine Pennisi	\$O	\$

Dated: $\frac{4}{(Month)} \frac{25}{(Date)} \frac{2018}{(Year)}$

ry Foreperson